Award Number: DAMD17-99-1-9468

TITLE: Mitochondrial Apoptosis: A New Foundation for Combining

Agents in Prostate Cancer Treatment

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Charles Myers

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Virginia

Charlottesville, Virginia 22906

REPORT DATE: March 2001

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;

Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching adata sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	ND DATES COVERED		
blank)	March 2001	Final (15 Feb	99 - 14 Fe	eb 01)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		•	5. FUNDING	NUMBERS	
Mitochondrial Apoptosi	s: A New Foundation fo	r Combining	DAMD17-99	9-1-9468	
Agents in Prostate Car		-	1		
6. AUTHOR(S)					
Charles Myers					
			Į.		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
University of Virginia			REPORT N	UMBER	
Charlottesville, Virginia 22906					
E-Mail: snuffy@virginia.	eđu				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING		
	`,	•	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command					
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012					
•					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		······································	·		
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT	Y STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for Public Re	lease; Distribution Un	limited			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wor	rde)				
TO THE THAT (MAXIMUM 200 FO)	u 3)				
44 CUD IEOT TEDUC					
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
Prostate			L	6	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIF	ICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
OF REPORT				THE PROPERTY OF ADDITION	
	OF THIS PAGE	OF ABSTRACT	ľ		

Table of Contents

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Table of Contents	3
Introduction	4
Agents Exhibiting Greatest Synergy	4
Possible Mechanistic Basis for Synergy	4
Choice of Combinations for <i>In Vivo</i> Testing	4
Additional Work Stimulated By This Grant	5
References	5

Final Report

This represents the final report for this project. In this summary, we will describe what we think are the most important findings, the drug combination of greatest promise for further testing and additional work stimulated by this research.

Agents Exhibiting Greatest Synergy

After reviewing all of the drug combinations tested, the chemotherapeutic agent that illustrated the most promising synergy with other drugs was taxol. In particular, the combination of taxol with the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, mevastatin, and with the differentiating agent, phenylbutyrate, showed the most significant synergy. However, the combination of taxol with combination of mevastatin and phenylbutyrate showed no increase in antitumor efficacy compared with taxol plus mevastatin or taxol plus phenylbutyrate. These results make sense based on what is known about the mechanism of action of taxol, mevastatin, and phenylbutyrate.

Possible Mechanistic Basis for Synergy

Ras, rho and related proteins play a critical role in signal transduction events regulating cell growth and motility. These proteins typically must undergo post translational modification involving the addition of either farnesol- or geranylgeranyl- groups. This reaction involves a condensation reaction between the protein and farnesol pyrophosphate or geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate. In this reaction, the pyrophosphate acts as a leaving group and resulting addition of farnesol- or genanylgeranyl- to a cysteine on the protein.

The farnesol- and geranylgeranyl- groups are composed of isoprenyl- subunits. These isoprenyl subunits are synthesized from acetic acid by a pathway that starts with HMG-CoA reductase. Subsequent steps in the pathway include the formation of mevalonate, mevalonate 5-pyrophosphate and isopentenyl pyrophosphate. In addition to the formation of farnesyl pyrophosphate and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate, isopentenyl pyrophosphate is an intermediate in the synthesis of a wide range of important molecules, including cholesterol, steroid hormones, and coenzyme Q10.

Mevastatin, phenylbutyrate and taxol all inhibit separate steps along the pathway leading from acetate to the farnesylation or geranylgeranylation of ras-like proteins. Mevastatin and other statin drugs block the formation of isopentenyl pyrophosphate by blocking the HMG-CoA reductase. Among its many biochemical actions, phenylbutyrate inhibits the synthesis of isopentenyl pyrophosphate from mevalonate pyrophosphate. Finally, we have previously shown that taxol inhibits the addition of these polyprenyl moieties to ras-family proteins[1]. Thus, a reasonable hypothesis for the synergy seen between taxol and mevastatin or phenylbutyrate is that the act together to inhibit posttranslational modification of ras-family proteins needed for prostate cancer cell survival. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that the farnesyltransferase inhibitor, manumycin, also interacts synergistically with taxol[2].

Choice of Combinations for In Vivo Testing

The current grant did not cover *in vivo* testing of the most promising combinations. Nevertheless, enough is known about drug levels that are achievable *in vivo* to make recommendations. Statin drugs, including mevastatin, are all generally used for lowering cholesterol levels at concentrations between 1 and 50 nM. We previously conducted a phase 1 clinical trial of lovastatin and found blood levels of 100-500 nM are tolerated with modest side effects largely limited to muscle weakness[3]. This was associated with a marked decline in serum coenzyme Q10 levels and responded to oral replacement with 200 mg of coenzyme Q10. Unfortunately, in the studies covered under this grant and in earlier work, neither mevastatin nor lovastatin exhibit significant synergy with taxol at blood levels below 10 uM. As a result, further testing of taxol-statin drug combinations do not appear to be promising.

In phase 1 clinical trials testing intravenous phenybutyrate, we found that blood levels between 500 and 2,000 uM were well tolerated[4]. This is well in excess of the concentration required to significantly increase the antitumor activity of taxol. Furthermore, the oral bioavailability of phenylbutyrate is close to

50% and doses of 20 grams three times a day have been well tolerated[5] [6]. An additional advantage is that phenylbutyrate is an FDA approved drug for the treatment of children with genetic defects in the urea cycle. When used for these indications, chronic phenylbutyrate has proved to be remarkably well tolerated, even after years of constant administration. For these reasons, the combination of taxol and phenylbutyrate appears to be the most promising combination to emerge from the studies funded by this grant.

Additional Work Stimulated By This Grant

Taxol and taxotere have both proved to be active antiangiogenesis agents[7] [8]. These agents appear to act by suppressing the production of VEGF[9]. Additionally, tumor overexpression of VEGF renders cancer cells relatively resistant to the antiangiogenesis action of the taxanes. Other agents that reduce VEGF production, such as thalidomide or antiVEGF antibody, enhance the activity of taxanes[9] [8]. Relevant to the work done under this DOD grant, the farnesyltransferase inhibitor, manumycin, acts synergistically with taxol to block angiogenesis as well as tumor cell kill[2, 10]. This suggested to us the possibility that there might be a parallel between agents that synergistically enhance tumor cell kill by taxol and those that enhance it's ability to block angiogenesis.

We have been able to obtain a \$400,000 per year grant from a drug company to pursue this line of research. Rather than focus on agents that broadly inhibit protein isoprenylation, we chose to identify what we think is the most important of the ras-family proteins for angiogenesis. In collaboration with Avril and Andrew Somlyo, we selected rho, because if its important role in endothelial cell invasiveness and tube formation. We have shown that an orally active inhibitor of rho action significantly suppresses endothelial cell invasiveness and tube formation[11]. *In vivo*, the combination of taxol and the rho inhibitor were more effective than either agent alone in suppressing the growth of the androgen-independent cell line, PC3. Marimastat is a metalloproteinase inhibitor that suppresses tumor cell invasiveness and angiogenesis. This agent is very well tolerated. A recent randomized controlled clinical trial found marimastat comparable to gemcitabine in the treatment of pancreatic carcinoma. If confirmed, this would make marimastat first line treatment for pancreatic carcinoma. We found that the three-drug combination of taxol, marimastat, and rho inhibitor resulted in dramatic suppression of PC3 *in vivo*, making this combination an attractive one to bring to clinical trial.

These results have led us to entertain the hypothesis that it will be possible to develop a taxol-based combination that synergistically enhances apoptosis and suppresses tumor angiogenesis.

References:

- 1. Danesi, R., et al., Paclitaxel (taxol) inhibits protein isoprenylation and induces apoptosis in PC-3 human prostate cancer cells. Mol Pharmacol, 1995. 47(6): p. 1106-11.
- 2. Yeung, S.C., et al., Manumycin enhances the cytotoxic effect of paclitaxel on anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells. Cancer Res, 2000. 60(3): p. 650-6.
- 3. Thibault, A., et al., *Phase I study of lovastatin, an inhibitor of the mevalonate pathway, in patients with cancer.* Clin Cancer Res, 1996. **2**(3): p. 483-91.
- 4. Piscitelli, S.C., et al., Disposition of phenylbutyrate and its metabolites, phenylacetate and phenylacetylglutamine. J Clin Pharmacol, 1995. 35(4): p. 368-73.
- 5. Collins, A.F., et al., Oral sodium phenylbutyrate therapy in homozygous beta thalassemia: a clinical trial. Blood, 1995. **85**(1): p. 43-9.
- 6. Berg, S., et al., *Pharmacokinetics and cerebrospinal fluid penetration of phenylacetate and phenylbutyrate in the nonhuman primate.* Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2001. **47**(5): p. 385-90.
- 7. Guinan, P., et al., Paclitaxel is more effective than thalidomide in inhibiting LNCaP tumor growth in a prostate cancer model. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol, 1998. 20(9): p. 739-42.
- 8. Sweeney, C.J., et al., The antiangiogenic property of docetaxel is synergistic with a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor or 2-methoxyestradiol but antagonized by endothelial growth factors. Cancer Res, 2001. 61(8): p. 3369-72.
- 9. Lau, D.H., et al., Paclitaxel (Taxol): an inhibitor of angiogenesis in a highly vascularized transgenic breast cancer. Cancer Biother Radiopharm, 1999. 14(1): p. 31-6.

- 10. Xu, G., et al., Angiogenesis inhibition in the in vivo antineoplastic effect of manumycin and paclitaxel against anaplastic thyroid carcinoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2001. **86**(4): p. 1769-77.
- 11. Somlyo, A.V., et al., Rho-kinase inhibitor retards migration and in vivo dissemination of human prostate cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2000. **269**(3): p. 652-9.